bre

Embedded security

Procuring an effective facility protective security system

Gavin Jones





Embedded security

Procuring an effective facility protective security system

Gavin Jones

The research and writing for this publication has been funded by BRE Trust, the largest UK charity dedicated specifically to research and education in the built environment. BRE Trust uses the profits made by its trading companies to fund new research and education programmes that advance knowledge, innovation and communication for public benefit.

BRE Trust is a company limited by guarantee, registered in England and Wales (no. 3282856) and registered as a charity in England (no. 1092193) and in Scotland (no. SC039320). Registered office: Bucknalls Lane, Garston, Watford, Herts WD25 9XX

Tel: +44 (0) 333 321 8811 Email: secretary@bretrust.co.uk www.bretrust.org.uk

IHS (NYSE: IHS) is the leading source of information, insight and analytics in critical areas that shape today's business landscape. Businesses and governments in more than 165 countries around the globe rely on the comprehensive content, expert independent analysis and flexible delivery methods of IHS to make high-impact decisions and develop strategies with speed and confidence. IHS is the exclusive publisher of BRE publications.

IHS Global Ltd is a private limited company registered in England and Wales (no. 00788737). Registered office: Willoughby Road, Bracknell, Berkshire RG12 8FB. www.ihs.com

BRE publications are available from www.brebookshop.com

or IHS BRE Press Willoughby Road Bracknell Berkshire RG12 8FB Tel: +44 (0) 1344 328038 Fax: +44 (0) 1344 328005 Email: brepress@ihs.com

© IHS 2015. No part of this publication may be reproduced or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise, or be stored in any retrieval system of any nature, without prior written permission of IHS. Requests to copy any part of this publication should be made to: The Publisher IHS Verulam Point Station Way St Albans Herts AL1 5HE Tel: +44 (0) 1727 733810 Email: brepress@ihs.com

Printed using FSC or PEFC material from sustainable forests.

FB 77 First published 2015 ISBN 978-1-84806-433-1 Any third-party URLs are given for information and reference purposes only and BRE and IHS do not control or warrant the accuracy, relevance, availability, timeliness or completeness of the information contained on any third-party website. Inclusion of any third-party details or website is not intended to reflect their importance, nor is it intended to endorse any views expressed, products or services offered, nor the companies or organisations in question.

Any views expressed in this publication are not necessarily those of BRE or IHS. BRE and IHS have made every effort to ensure that the information and guidance in this publication were accurate when published, but can take no responsibility for the subsequent use of this information, nor for any errors or omissions it may contain. To the extent permitted by law, BRE and IHS shall not be liable for any loss, damage or expense incurred by reliance on the information or any statement contained herein.

Contents

	Abbreviations used in this guide	iv
1	Introduction	1
2	Background	3
	Facility protective security Why is security so important? Setting protective security objectives	3 3 5
3	Facility planning and design: a foundation for effective protective security	6
	Examples of good planning and design	6
4	Protective security design	8
	What does 'good' protective security look like? Adopting a performance-based approach and system-level thinking Measuring security risk (R) Assessing the threat (T) Assessing vulnerability (V) Assessing consequences (C)	8 9 10 11 12
5	The procurement process: an integrated approach	13
	Procurement process overview Asking the right questions Security tasks and information exchanges: stage-by-stage commentary	13 16 16
6	System testing and evaluation	22
7	Key messages	23
8	References and further information	24

1 Introduction

One of the core functions of any facility is to provide security for its occupants and their assets but there remains a significant lack of understanding about how to:

- measure the performance of facilities in terms of the security they provide
- procure effective facility security.

The above issues can lead to investment in unnecessary security controls and undermine the functionality and aesthetic quality of buildings and infrastructure. Of greater concern, is that owners and occupiers who have invested in security may perceive themselves to be secure, while the security controls procured may be ineffective and the consequences of an attack on their facility may be significantly greater than anticipated.

By comparison, over the last 25 years, there has been a dramatic advance in the construction industry's capability in the area of sustainability which has led to, and continues to lead to, better performance, reduced environmental impacts, improved urban environments and reduced operating costs for facility end users. So why the stark difference between security and sustainability, given that in both cases there have been significant drivers for improved performance and advances in technology that can deliver such improvements?

A reason may be that there are two noticeable achievements in the area of sustainability that could explain the successes and should be given consideration in the pursuit of effective security:

 Research, standards and certification schemes, such as the Building Research Establishment Environmental Assessment Method (BREEAM)^[11], now provide end users, the construction industry and regulators with a means of measuring the environmental, social and economic performance of buildings and infrastructure. The ability to measure performance allows stakeholders to assess the 'value' of sustainability, build a business case for investment in sustainability and to articulate sustainability aspirations when commissioning new facilities.

Security remains a grudge purchase, which is not surprising given that few end users or project stakeholders understand what 'good' security looks like, how much it costs and what value it adds to a business.

Sustainability has been made mainstream. Sustainability
is an integral part of project procurement and everyone
across industry now understands that they have a role to
play in improving performance. Sustainability is no longer a
specialist discipline but a shared responsibility of planners,
architects, engineers, contractors, investors and end users.

Security remains the endeavour of specialist consultants, solution providers and installers. These specialists are brought onto project teams relatively late in the project procurement process and usually for limited periods of time.

The above points suggest there is room for improvement and that it might be possible to achieve 'more for less' by:

- defining better what needs to be achieved
- identifying appropriate performance metrics
- evaluating design quality and in-use performance
- making security a shared endeavour.

Without a doubt, there are plenty of facilities that require better security. Faced with a determined attack, many facility protective security systems will not perform as required. Far too often, security controls offer a degree of deterrence but little else.

This is not to say that those charged with securing buildings are not investing sufficiently in security, it is more a case that investment is often mis-spent, with heavy reliance on physical and technical solutions that have not been procured using performance-based design. With poorly defined security objectives for projects, it is no surprise that security is considered late in the procurement process and, as a result, end users often find themselves in buildings that will always be difficult to secure.

When security is considered from the outset of a project, it is often possible to use planning and design to remove security vulnerabilities at little or no additional cost to the project.

Failing to start the process of security risk management at the outset of a project produces inherent vulnerabilities that need to be mitigated at higher cost with applied technical and procedural controls.

Realistically, it will always be a challenge to mainstream security, given the need to protect information about a facility's approach to security, as well as to protect the facility itself. However, it is certainly possible to integrate security into all stages of the project procurement process, promote collaboration between project team members and ensure that employers* are active in security decision making.

^{*} Employer is defined as a person or enterprise/organisation that commissions a project, ie the construction of a facility or alterations to an existing facility; and is responsible for providing the strategic direction to the professional advisers, contractors and supply chain involved in the design, construction and handover of the project.

8 References and further information

References

- 1. BRE. BREEAM. More information at www.breeam.org.
- Centre for the Protection of National Infrastructure (CPNI). Personnel security risk assessment – a guide. 4th edition. London, CPNI, 2013.
- **3.** The Aviation Security Act 1982. c. 36. London, The National Archives, 1982.
- **4.** Corporate Manslaughter and Corporate Homicide Act 2007. c. 19. London, The National Archives, 2007.
- 5. Health & Safety at Work etc. Act 1974. c. 37. London, The National Archives, 1974.
- 6. Occupiers' Liability Act 1957. c. 31 5 and 6 Eliz 2. London, The National Archives, 1957.
- Occupiers' Liability Act 1984. c. 3. London, The National Archives, 1984.
- **8.** Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA). RIBA Plan of Work 2013. Available at: www.ribaplanofwork.com/.
- **9.** Construction Industry Council (CIC). The CIC scope of services handbook. London, CIC, 2007.
- BSI. Specification for information management for the capital/ delivery phase of construction projects using building information modelling. PAS 1192-2:2013. London, BSI, 2013.
- **11.** Cabinet Office. Government Construction Strategy. 2011. Available as a pdf from www.gov.uk.
- Construction (Design and Management) Regulations 2015. SI No. 51: Health and safety. London, The National Archives, 2015.

Further information

Association of Chief Police Officers (ACPO). Secured by design (SBD). Visit: www.securedbydesign.com/.

BRE Global (incorporating Loss Prevention Certification Board, LPCB). RedBookLive. Visit: www.redbooklive.com/.

Centre for the Protection of National Infrastructure (CPNI). Visit: www.cpni.gov.uk/.

Counter Terrorism Security Advisers (CTSA). For information contact your local Police Force.

Kukadia V and Abela A. Designing to reduce the chemical, biological and radiological vulnerability of new buildings. BRE IP 7/15. Bracknell, IHS BRE Press, 2015. Available as a free download from: www.brebookshop.com.

National Counter Terrorism Security Office (NaCTSO). Visit: www.gov.uk/government/organisations/national-counterterrorism-security-office.

Royal Institute of British Architects (RIBA). Visit: www.architecture.com/Explore/Home.aspx.

Publications from IHS BRE Press

Fire performance of external thermal insulation for walls of multistorey buildings. 3rd edn. **BR 135**

External fire spread. 2nd edn. BR 187

Site layout planning for daylight and sunlight. 2nd edn. BR 209

Radon: guidance on protective measures for new buildings. 2015 edn. **BR 211**

Fire safety and security in retail premises. BR 508

Automatic fire detection and alarm systems. BR 510

Handbook for the structural assessment of large panel system (LPS) dwelling blocks for accidental loading. ${\rm BR}\,511$

A guide to the Simplified Building Energy Model (SBEM): what it does and how it works. ${\bf FB}\,{\bf 24}$

Vacant dwellings in England: the challenges and costs of bringing them back into use. ${\bf FB\,25}$

Energy efficiency in new and existing buildings: comparative costs and CO, savings. ${\bf FB}\,{\bf 26}$

Health and productivity benefits of sustainable schools: a review. **FB 27**

Integrating BREEAM throughout the design process: a guide to achieving higher BREEAM and Code for Sustainable Homes ratings through incorporation with the RIBA Outline Plan of Work and other procurement routes. **FB 28**

Design fires for use in fire safety engineering. FB 29

Ventilation for healthy buildings: reducing the impact of urban pollution. ${\bf FB\,30}$

Financing UK carbon reduction projects. FB 31

The cost of poor housing in Wales. FB 32

Dynamic comfort criteria for structures: a review of UK standards, codes and advisory documents. **FB 33**

Water mist fire protection in offices: experimental testing and development of a test protocol. **FB 34**

Airtightness in commercial and public buildings. 3rd edn. FB 35

Biomass energy. FB 36

Environmental impact of insulation. FB 37

Environmental impact of vertical cladding. FB 38

Environmental impact of floor finishes: incorporating The Green Guide ratings for floor finishes. ${\bf FB}\,{\bf 39}$

LED lighting. FB 40

Radon in the workplace. 2nd edn. FB 41

U-value conventions in practice. FB 42

Lessons learned from community-based microgeneration projects: the impact of renewable energy capital grant schemes. **FB 43**

Energy management in the built environment: a review of best practice. ${\bf FB}~{\bf 44}$

The cost of poor housing in Northern Ireland. FB 45

Ninety years of housing, 1921–2011. FB 46

BREEAM and the Code for Sustainable Homes on the London 2012 Olympic Park. **FB 47**

Saving money, resources and carbon through SMARTWaste. ${\bf FB\,48}$

Concrete usage in the London 2012 Olympic Park and the Olympic and Paralympic Village and its embodied carbon content. **FB 49**

A guide to the use of urban timber. FB 50

Low flow water fittings: will people accept them? FB 51

Evacuating vulnerable and dependent people from buildings in an emergency. ${\bf FB}~{\bf 52}$

Refurbishing stairs in dwellings to reduce the risk of falls and injuries. **FB 53**

Dealing with difficult demolition wastes. FB 54

Security glazing: is it all that it's cracked up to be? FB 55

The essential guide to retail lighting. **FB 56**

Environmental impact of metals. FB 57

Environmental impact of brick, stone and concrete. FB 58

Design of low-temperature domestic heating systems. FB 59

Performance of photovoltaic systems on non-domestic buildings. **FB 60**

Reducing thermal bridging at junctions when designing and installing solid wall insulation. FB ${\bf 61}$

Housing in the UK. FB 62

Delivering sustainable buildings. FB 63

Quantifying the health benefits of the Decent Homes programme. ${\bf FB}~{\bf 64}$

The cost of poor housing in London. FB 65

Environmental impact of windows. **FB 66**

Environmental impact of biomaterials and biomass. FB 67

DC isolators for photovoltaic systems. FB 68

Computational fluid dynamics in building design. FB 69

Design of durable concrete structures. FB 70

The age and construction of English homes. FB 71

A technical guide to district heating. **FB 72**

Changing energy behaviour in the workplace. FB 73

Lighting and health. FB 74

Building on fill: geotechnical aspects. 3rd edn. FB 75

Changing patterns in domestic energy use. FB 76

For a complete list of IHS BRE Press publications visit www.brebookshop.com

bre

Embedded security: procuring an effective facility protective security system

Buildings protect what is important to us and, given that there will always be threats to building security, there is a need to deploy appropriate and proportionate protective systems to protect people and assets from losses.

This guide, written for the procurement team, discusses the importance of establishing security objectives at the outset of a new project and the need for close collaboration between project team members and security advisers. It describes:

- facility planning and design of a protective security system
- how to integrate an effective protective security system into the procurement process for new building projects
- key security tasks, information exchanges, and security roles and responsibilities
- the need to protect information relating to buildings and their security, especially as the industry adopts BIM for procurement and asset management efficiencies.

The importance of testing, evaluating and reviewing security system performance to ensure the system remains fit for purpose through the life of the facility is highlighted.



Related titles from IHS BRE Press

Security glazing: is it all that it's cracked up to be? FB 55

Automatic fire sprinkler systems FB 19

Automatic fire detection and alarm systems BR 510 Fire safety and security in retail premises BR 508

Fire safety and security in places of worship BR 499

Sprinkler systems explained: a guide to sprinkler installation standards and rules BR 503



IHS BRE Press, Willoughby Road Bracknell, Berkshire RG12 8FB www.brebookshop.com **FB 77**

